10. Spices Export Promotion Council, Cochin.

11. Cashew Export Promotion Council, Cochin.

12. Cotton Textiles Export Promotion Council, Bombay.

13. Silk and Rayon Textiles Export Promotion Council, Bombay.

14. Indian Silk Export Promotion Council, Bombay.

15. Apparels Export Promotion Council, New Delhi.

16. Wool and Woollen Export Promotion Council, New Delhi.

17. Carpets Export Promotion Council, New Delhi.

18. Handloom Export Promotion Council, Madras.

19. Federation of Indian Export Organisations, New Delhi.

20. Indian Institute of Packaging, Bombay.

21. Indian Council of Arbitration, New Delhi.

22. Indian Institute of Foreign Trade, New Delhi.

23. Trade Development Authority, New Delhi.

24. Indian Diamond Institute, Surat.

Category—C: Commodity Boards and Other Organisations

1. Tea Board, Calcutta.

2. Coffee Board, Bangalore.

3. Tobacco Board, Guntur.

4. Cardamom Baord, Cochin.

5. Marine Products Export Development Authority (MPEDA), Cochin.

6. Agricultural and Processed Foods Export Development Authority, New Delhi.

7. Rubber Board, Kottayam.

8. Export Inspection Council, Calcutta.

Amount sanctioned to TIE India Ltd. by Canara Bank etc.

2286. SHRI NAND KISHORE BHATT: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether a 100 per cent export unit by the name and style, TIE India Limited has been financed by IFCI, ICICI, and Canara Bank; if so, what is the amount so sanctioned by each of them so far;

(b) whether the project was appraised on the strength of one of the most renowned industrial group, the Kedia Group and since when they have walked out of the projects, whether the financial institutions propose to make reappraisal; and

(c) whether financing such a project is not against the Government's declared policy for centralised purchase of Technology for PABX and PBEX?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JANARDHAN POOJARI): (a) to (c) Industrial Credit & Investment Corporation of India (ICICI) have reported that they had made an appraisal of the export-oriented project of M/s. Tie India International Ltd. for the manufacture of PABX and allied systems before the policy regarding centralised purchase of technology for FABX was issued. ICCI and IFCI have subsequently cancelled the loan to the company on the company's request. Canara Bank in consortium with American Express International Banking Corporation are reported to have sanctioned certain credit facilities to M/s. Tie India International Ltd. The Banks consider the proposals for financing on the basis of their economic viability and technical feasibility.

In accordance with the statutes, governing the public sector banks and tl^e practices and usages customary among banpters, the commercial fbairdcs cannot divula|e informationl relating to or the affairs of their individual constituents.

Charges of Economic offence against president, NCCF

2287. SHRI HUKMDEO NABAYAN •YADAV: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:-

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news-item captioned 'NCCF—^Pradhan Mantry Ki Ghoshna Ka Majak' appearing in 'Jansatta' of the 2)4th December, 1985 alleging that the President of NCCF has amassed property worth over Rs. 10 crores comprising of one four-star hotel in Patna (Samai-at International) and two four star hotels in New Delhi apart from cold storage and rice mill in Bihar; and

(b) if so, what action Government are taking in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JANARDHAN POOJARI): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. On the basis of information already in the possession of the Income-tax Department, appro^ priate action under various Direct Taxes Acts has been initiated.

Rice damaged at Kandia Port

2288. SHRI HUKMDEO NARAYAN YADAV: Will the Minister of FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES be pleased 'to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a huge quantity of rice worth Rs. 1.64 crores ■belonging to NCCF was totally damaged by cyclone at Kandia Port during the year 1980.81;

(b) whether it is also a fact that a claim was lodged with the insurance company which wag not entertained due to technical reasons; and

(c) if so, what are the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRI AJIT PANJA): (a) to (c) NCCF has reported that rice stocks worth Rs. 1.65 crores was ' damaged in 1980-81 at Kandia Port due to cyclone and a claim for this amount was lodged with the Insurance Company for recovery of the amount. NCCF has further reported that as the Insurance Companjj did not agree to settle the claim, it has filed a suit in the Delhi High Court for recovery of the amount from the Insurance Company.

Representation against barassraent by the managenieiat of NCCF

2289, SHRI HUKMDEO NARAYAN YADAV: Will the Minister of FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the NCCF Workers' Union has represented to Government about their victimisation by the management for raising their voice against malpractice* being committed by the senior offi-cers and directors; and

(b) if so, what action Government have taken in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRI AJIT PANJA): (a) and (b) The NCCF Worker^ Union has complained that for raising their voices against the alleged corruption in the NCCF, seven petty clerks/ workers of union have been victimised and transferred out of Delhi. NCCF has reported that the management has transferred six officers and 12 other employees in the overall interest of the organisation and not in. the nature of any victimisation.